

Electronic Golf League Scheduler

Ethan Evans, Aidan Andreas, Brady Zalasky, Nick Landon, Maxwell Farver

Team: sddec21-03 Website: <u>https://sddec21-03.sd.ece.iastate.edu</u> Advisor: Mai Zheng Client: Tina Prouty





Project Overview

Problem Statement

- Create a web application to handle golf teams and matchups
- Current system can't handle the current club size
- New system should be user friendly and easy to maintain
- Ideally should cost no more than a few dollars a month



Team Member Roles



Frontend	Brady
Backend	Aidan and Nick
Full-Stack	Max and Ethan

Wireframe Designs

Hole Traem 1 Traem 2 Update Cancel Image: Blue Tearm Red Tearm Update Content Image: Blue Tearm Vellow Tearm Update Content
How Term 1 Term 2 Update Centred Blue Team Red Team Update Centred Green Team Yellow Team Update Centred 8/14/2021 1000um 23 Update 8/21/2021 1000um 23 Update 8/28/2021 1000um 25 Update
Ø Green Team Vellow Team Update Caneal 8/21/2021 10.00um 28 Update Caneal 8/28/2021 10.00um 25 Update Caneal
8/28/2021 10:00m 25 Update Caned
Durple Team Orange Team Unders
Image: Purple learning Opange learning Opa
Add New Team

	Team Pair	ings		
Team Name	Members			
Blue Team	Suzy Q J. J.	Update		
Red Team	Tina Pajak Jane Doe	Update		
	+ Add New	Гeam	۵	

Golf Sch	olf Scheduler		Assignments	Schedule	Teams	Leaderboard	Settings
		Lea	Gurently Showr		oard		
		Team		Scor	e		
	0	Blue Team		-3			
	0	Red Team		-1			
	8	Orange Team		2			
	0	Teal Team		3			
	6	Yellow Team		5			
	6	Purple Team		8			
	-						

Project Requirements

• Functional

- User input for teams and players
- Leaderboard to keep track of score
- Schedule for upcoming outings
- Creates a schedule for when a new outing is created
- Economic
 - Minimal or low monthly cost
- User Interface
 - Simple to use
 - Features clearly defined
 - Modern and professional design

Technical Considerations

- Cost played an important role
- AWS preferred cloud provider
 - $\circ \qquad \text{Generous free tier} \qquad \qquad$
- DynamoDB
 - Low latency
- NodeJS
 - Handle cold starts well
- Netlify
 - Simplicity of deployment and cost

Development Approach

- Utilized a Trello board for Project Management
 - Backlog, In Progress, Ready for Review, Done
 - Color coding and assigning tasks
- Slack was form of a communication to bring up questions/concerns
- Development had to be done on a feature branch
 - Code must get approved by a reviewer
 - Reviewer was responsible for local testing of new feature

Market Survey

• Competing applications: PlayPass and GolfSoftware

- Cheap or free
- Ability to schedule a tournament or round robin league
- Our Application
 - Includes the features competing applications offer
 - Built for Tina's league specifically
 - Automatic pairing shuffling and reassignment
 - Tracks weekly scores, winners, and standings

Resource Requirements

- Project hosted on AWS at no cost
- Application built with free resources
 - Node.js, DynamoDB, React, Netlify
- Since we are using resources free to the public only requirement is that we have internet access

Potential Risks & Mitigation

Identified our biggest risks as:

- Environment setup
- User interface design
- Unforeseen issues during testing process

These were mitigated by:

- Frequent communication with teammates and client
- Working together on tasks and having teammates review each merge request
- Making use of online tutorials and other free resources



System Design

Functional Decomposition



Demo

Technologies Used

Production

- Utilize AWS free tier for backend
- Node.js chosen for its cold starts which will be the majority of interactions
- DynamoDB chosen for compatibility with AWS free tier and is ideal for serverless architecture
- Netlify chosen for simplicity of deployment and domain configuration
- Single Page Application written in React

Local Dev

- Docker
- DynamoDB-local
- Serverless framework

Cloud Architecture



Scheduling Algorithm Requirements

Initial Schedule Generation

• Teams should be paired if possible

Schedule Shuffling when check-in is complete

- Keep outings short
 - Fewer Hole Assignments
 - Teams start in line:
 - Good starting holes: 1/2/3/4
 - Bad starting holes: 1/3/4/7
- Scores must propagate to each player's original team for the season cumulative score

Testing

• Integration Tests

 Full integration test suite using Postman to ensure HTTP handlers are functioning correctly.

• Unit Testing

- 89% test coverage of all business logic and data access.
- DynamoDB local test environments created and re-seeded before every test to ensure database queries are correct

Manual UI testing

- All Merge Requests are QA'd by a second member of the team.
- If a bug was found during the QA process, the author of the Merge Request was required to make the necessary changes before requesting another round of testing.



Process Evolution / Lessons Learned

Process Evolution

- Continuous Integration Issues
 - Decided to abandon CI, instead testing locally for each Merge Request
- Local development setup difficulties
- Automated Interface Testing

Lessons Learned

- A good development environment setup can save hours of work
- Testing in a Serverless environment can be difficult
- Proper planning makes development much simpler



Questions?



Scheduling Shuffling Algorithm Implementation

fillMissingSlots():

// empty lists that will contain hole assignments, corresponding to // what should be done with that hole assignment

// teams and players that need a new team/pairing

i ← 0
j ← length of allHoleAssignments
while (j < i):
 // store players and teams that need to be mov
 orphanedPlayers, orphanedTeams</pre>

// find next hole assignment with absent players
// - must have at least one absent player
i ← index of next hole needing players

// find next hole assignment to pull players from
// - must have at least one absent player

 $\texttt{j} \leftarrow \texttt{index} \text{ of next hole with players than can be moved}$

// make sure that i and j have not crossed after the previous loops
if (j > i):

// the i'th hole assignment will be retained
// the j'th hole assignment will be deleted

// grab orphaned team from allHoleAssignments[j] if possible orphanedTeams ← any teams with both players confirmed

// grab orphaned players from allHoleAssignments[j] if possible orphanedPlayers ← any players without a confirmed partner end while loop

•••

// loop through hole assignments that will be retained // but need slots filled foreach (hole assignment in toUpdate): // replace a team if one is missing // replace any missing players on either team

while (there are still orphaned players or teams):
 // create new hole assignment object

- // place any remaining orphaned teams on the new hole assignments
- // place any remaining orphaned players on new teams
- // update toCreate to later save the new hole assignment

// complete database operations for the toUpdate, toDelete, and toCreate lists